

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/042,846	HAIMOVSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tse Chen	2116	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tse Chen.

(2) Kirk D. Williams.

**Status of Application:** Allow

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 January 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

8, 13, 40, 45, 52, 58

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Cited references.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Allowable subject matter was presented [see examiner's statement of reasons for allowance dated January 14, 2008] and minor informalities were corrected, resulting in the agreed examiner's amendment dated January 14, 2008.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

1/14/08

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)